NITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov FILING DATE FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO. 10/511,236 09/26/2005 Shengyang Huang F-8417 1828 28107 7590 06/17/2008 **EXAMINER** JORDAN-AND HAMBURG LLP NG, EUNICE 122 EAST 42ND STREET **SUITE 4000** ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER NEW YORK, NY 10168 '

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

MAIL DATE

06/17/2008

DELIVERY MODE

PAPER

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action bre the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	<u>-</u>
10/511,236	HUANG ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
Eunice Ng	2626	

The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address
THE REPLY FILED 21 May 2008 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods:
a) \boxtimes The period for reply expires <u>3</u> months from the mailing date of the final rejection.
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be filed within two months of the date of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time period set forth in 37 CFR 41.37(a). AMENDMENTS
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will <u>not</u> be entered because
 (a) They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below); (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below);
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims. NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121. See attached Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment (PTOL-324).
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):
6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s).
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) will not be entered, or b) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:
Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to:
Claim(s) rejected: Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE
8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but before or on the date of filing a Notice of Appeal will <u>not</u> be entered because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and sufficient reasons why the affidavit or other evidence is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a Notice of Appeal, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will <u>not</u> be entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appeal and/or appellant fails to provide a showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1).
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the claims after entry is below or attached. REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: See Continuation Sheet.
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s) 13. Other:
/David R Hudspeth/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2626

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Applicants submit that Hirose fails to teach a conversation database including a plurality of reply sentences. The examiner respectfully disagrees. As indicated in the previous office action, Hirose teaches storing a dialogue stream. Further, as described in paragraphs 83-84, the dialogue stream comprises a plurality of message documents, which contain data representing the contents of conversations (sentences). Thus, Hirose would fairly teach or suggest a conversation database including a plurality of reply sentences. Paragraph 185, teaches "keyword list generator breaks up sentences...according to a morphemic analysis...and extracts a plurality of words therefrom," which would contain first and second morphemes.

Applicants submit that Hirose fails to teach a determination of a type of input including affirmation or negation. The examiner respectfully disagrees. As indicated in the previous office action, since Hirose teaches evaluation based on matching (paragraph 177, 192 and 219), which would necessarily require determination of what the input is, including an affirmation or negation.

Applicants submit that Hirose fails to teach topic identification information. The examiner respectfully disagrees. As indicated in the previous office action, Hirose teaches a subject input box (for example in paragraphs 116 and 119) and retrieval (paragraph 188). Applicants submit that Hirose fails to teach ranking according to the frequency of search of a piece of second morpheme information at the topic search unit. However, as indicated in the previous office action, Hirose at paragraphs 177, 189, and 198 teaches generating a keyword list, which includes information representing the frequency of appearance in the message documents contained in the dialogue streams and a related dialogue candidate list, and paragraph 258, as well as paragraph 192-194, teaches their ranking when displayed.



Organization __

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

P.O. Box 1450

If Undeliverable Return In Ten Days Alexandria, VA. 22313-1450

Official Business Penalty For Private Use, \$300

AN EQUAL OPPORTUNITY EMPLOYER

39 JUN 17 2008 OTHER